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Prince Albert’s systematic approach to art and frames

Prince Albert, assisted by his artistic adviser Ludwig Gruner, researched, rearranged and reframed the collections of paintings and miniatures then in the Royal Collection. He also acquired paintings from areas and schools new to the Royal Collection, specifically fourteenth- and early fifteenth-century Italian art, and fifteenth- and sixteenth-century Netherlandish and German art. Prince Albert’s reputation as an expert on early Italian art is illustrated by a flattering letter from the dealer William Blundell Spence, from whom the royal couple had acquired a small painting by Fra Angelico depicting Christ Blessing. In his letter of 1853 Spence promised to send the Prince a catalogue and a few photographs of the Lombardi-Baldi Collection, which he thought would be an important addition to the National Gallery, ‘for I am perfectly sure from the short interview that I had the honour of having with his Royal Highness that no person in England is better able to appreciate the value of the early Italian School’.1

In a letter to Lord Ellesmere, dated 3 July 1856, Prince Albert set out his opinions about the idea of an exhibition in Manchester proposed for 1857, which would concentrate on the fine arts rather than their industrial counterpart. This scheme had the disadvantage of following other exhibitions that had been held in London in 1851, Dublin in 1853 and Paris in 1855, but Prince Albert wrote:

That national usefulness might, however, be found in the educational direction which may be given to the whole scheme…. If the collection you propose to form were made to illustrate the history of Art in a chronological and systematic arrangement, it would speak powerfully to the public mind, and enable, in a practical way, the most uneducated eye to gather the lessons which ages of thought and scientific research have attempted to abstract…

He enclosed a catalogue, organised by school, which he had had compiled and supplied to the governmental Select Committee of 1853 established to investigate the future management of the National Gallery. By organising it in this way he hoped that the exhibition would become ‘a great National object’.2 Prince Albert opened the exhibition on 5 May 1857 and praised its ‘scientific and historical arrangement,
and educational character’ and the ‘opportunity of teaching the mind, as well as gratifying the senses; and manifold are the lessons which it will present to us’.3

Prince Albert’s serious approach to art had first become apparent when he supervised the rehanging of the Picture Gallery in Buckingham Palace in 1851. In the Prince’s new arrangement the British School was excluded, except for the work of Sir Joshua Reynolds. Thomas Uwins, the Surveyor of Pictures, prepared a catalogue to accompany the paintings4 and the new arrangement was recorded in Louis Hague’s watercolour of the banquet to celebrate the christening of Prince Leopold in 1853, as well as in a photograph taken twenty years later (figs 1, 2). Prince Albert’s desire for order can be seen in his instigation of a systematic reframing of the entire gallery. Most likely it was the Prince who selected the gilded composition frames with the reverse-moulded pattern, most of which were supplied by the carver and gilder William Thomas, of 39 London Street, Fitzroy Square (fig. 3).
Thomas's reframing of the Picture Gallery with 'some hundred and eighty five gilt frames' began in 1850, when he started to replace all the deeper Regency frames which had a repeating leaf pattern as seen in Douglas Morison's 1853 watercolour (fig. 4). At the same time, on 25 December 1850, John Seguier submitted a bill for 'Cleaning & polishing the varnish of 152 Pictures in the Gallery of Buckingham Palace'. One advantage of this reframing programme was the elimination of shadows cast by the deeper frames previously in use; another benefit, as far as the Prince was concerned, was the unified appearance given to the gallery. Glazing was introduced in 1859 to protect the paintings in Buckingham Palace from atmospheric pollution, a constant problem noted by many visitors to London. The previous year, for instance, the visiting Prussian Helmuth von Moltke had written: 'Even in Buckingham Palace there was yesterday a thick fog consisting chiefly of coal smoke. Pictures, gold frames and embroidered work, must suffer very severely from it.'

When it came to studying and reorganising the miniatures in the Royal Collection, for which Prince Albert designed a special cabinet with sliding drawers in the Royal Library, it seems that this was an activity that Queen Victoria enjoyed as much as her husband did. Albert's concern for order and standardised display found expression in the programme he introduced of reframing all the miniatures, which began in 1851 and continued throughout the 1850s. They were put in new frames of a uniform pattern with a leaf and scroll-pattern surround by J.A. Hatfield, Bronze and Ormolu Manufacturer, of 21 Cumberland Street, London, and a new convex glass fitted. At the same time the back of each miniature was engraved with an inscription giving details of artist and sitter.
As a result of using a uniform frame design the Stuart portrait of Catherine of Braganza seems, at first glance, to be part of one series with the young Princess Helena (figs 5, 6). Prince Albert went on in 1860–61 to reframe the enamel portraits (which are not light sensitive in the way that portraits on ivory, card or vellum are) in Hatfield frames, selecting about two hundred examples to form a carefully planned arrangement in the Audience Room at Windsor;¹¹ where they were set in wall panels with oil portraits by Gainsborough to create a royal gallery of ancestors (fig. 7).

Fig. 5
Samuel Cooper (1608–72), Catherine of Braganza, c. 1662
Watercolour and bodycolour on vellum laid on card, frame 14.7 x 12.1 cm
Royal Collection, RCIN 420644

Fig. 6
Sir William Ross (1794–1860), Princess Helena, 1850
Watercolour on ivory laid on card, frame 7.4 x 6.9 cm
Royal Collection, RCIN 420341

Fig. 7
André Adolphe Eugène Disdéri (1819–89), The Queen’s Audience Room looking north, 1867
Albumen print, 22.6 x 28.1 cm
Royal Collection, RCIN 2100100
Prince Albert, the National Gallery and a new approach to framing Old Masters

Prince Albert’s interest in the framing of pictures extended beyond the confines of his own collection hung at various royal residences. Perhaps his most important contribution elsewhere was his work at the National Gallery. He and the Gallery’s first director, Sir Charles Eastlake, had much in common. For one thing, they shared a belief in the classification of art following the advances of German art history. Both believed in a systematic arrangement of pictures by school and period reflecting the progress made in German galleries, particularly the Berlin Royal Gallery. It was Eastlake and the Gallery’s Keeper, Ralph Nicholson Wornum, who had compiled the catalogue of artists organised by date and school in 1853, which Prince Albert had requested be sent to the organisers of the Manchester Art Treasures exhibition four years later. Furthermore, both were also part of a small group of collectors who sought out early Italian, German and Netherlandish art. Eastlake believed that the National Gallery should collect Italian art before Raphael in order to understand the great masters. Prince Albert’s interest in Raphael is demonstrated by his aim to assemble every available print after the works of Raphael, and where no print existed, to procure photographs. The plan was to continue with Michelangelo and Leonardo, but this was cut short when he died.

Prince Albert and Charles Eastlake also shared an interest in frames. The Prince’s attention to the care of frames at the National Gallery is recorded by Wornum in the diary he began in 1855. Albert visited the Gallery on 31 October 1857 to see the new pictures. According to Wornum, he was delighted with the new Paul Veronese, but thought some of the frames in a bad condition and recommended that one painting (the ‘Vandermeire’) be put in a case. At the next meeting of the Trustees, on 16 November, Wornum was authorised ‘to regild at convenient opportunities, such frames as require regilding’. Wornum recorded on 23 November that the frame of the Vandermeire had been re-gilt and on 14 January 1858 that it had been put into a case.

By this date Prince Albert had already begun the process of framing and reframing in the palaces and designing frames for his early Italian and German paintings. His interest in framing may have been a strong influence on the procedures of Eastlake and Wornum at the National Gallery. During the 1850s and 1860s the Director would acquire paintings during his annual continental tours, which Wornum would then arrange to be reframed or conserved on their arrival in London. Frequently frames were ‘altered and regilt’, often by the National Gallery framer, Henry Critchfield, of 35 Clipstone Street, London, who worked for the National Gallery from 1854 to 1880. The same framer also provided new frames in composition (compo). But Wornum’s remit was broader and more creative than this. He was involved in the planning and design of new frames. Thus on 2 July 1861 he
noted that he had designed a frame for “A Knight of Malta” by Pontormo (A Knight of S. Stefano attributed to Girolamo Macchietti, NG 670) which G.F. Watts had presented to the Gallery. Identical and very similar frames, with a reverse profile with reel and rod motif combined with scrolling ornament in low relief on the flat frieze, were made by Henry Critchfield for several paintings acquired between 1858 and 1863. On 19 December 1862 Wornum ‘approved pattern for Andrea del Sarto frame … perhaps the best I have yet planned’.

Occasionally new carved frames were commissioned from Italian framemakers in Florence, and in such cases it was Eastlake who played the most significant role. In November 1855 Eastlake reported to the Board that he had entrusted Ugo Baldi in Florence with the restoration of some recently purchased paintings. The old frames were also left in Florence to be repaired and re-gilt and Eastlake had commissioned a new frame for one of them, ‘the art of frame-carving being practised with great ability in Florence’. Then, in July 1857 Wornum noted the arrival from Florence of a carved frame for the Filippino Lippi altarpiece, The Virgin and Child with Saints Jerome and Dominic (NG 293), ‘a good specimen of modern Italian frame-making’; and a frame for the Polliuialo Martyrdon of St Sebastian (NG 292), ‘overburnished, but a magnificent design and perhaps the finest frame in the Gallery’. The frame for Girolamo Romanino’s Nativity with Saints Alexander, Jerome, Filippo Benizzi and Gaudioso (NG 297.1-5) was made by Spelluzzi of Milan, probably with the approval of Eastlake.

Antique frames were also purchased from time to time. In 1856 Antonio Zen supplied frames for a group of paintings consigned to him by Otto Mündler. Some were made up of fragments of old frames and the better frames moved to other paintings in the collection. Wornum described the frame bought from Pratts of Bond Street in 1863 for Bernardino Lanino Virgin and Child with Saints (NG 700) as a ‘fine cinquecento frame’, but it is probably nineteenth century. In 1856 ‘old frames’ were brought from Queen Anne Street by Mr Critchfield and selected and cleaned. When the National Gallery purchased paintings from the Lombardi-Baldi Collection in 1857, they also purchased the frames. Almost all were contemporary Italian but one or two were restored Renaissance frames. The altarpiece by Francesco Botticini depicting Saint Jerome in Penitence with Saints and Donors (NG 227) (then thought to be by Cosimo Rosselli) was purchased from the Ricasoli family in 1855 and is in its original frame, as noted by Wornum in his 1864 catalogue of the National Gallery paintings, but in fact this was extensively reworked and re-gilded before its arrival in London.
Frames for the palaces and royal residences and royal portraiture

As we have seen, Prince Albert approved of the adoption of a standard pattern of frames for official and grand displays, such as the Picture Gallery in Buckingham Palace, which continued the principles of eighteenth-century palace interiors. At the National Gallery during the 1860s Eastlake and Wornum modified the use of standard patterns of frames to give unity to a gallery in favour of frames which reflected the style of the particular school. Prince Albert had already done this in a remarkable way for his private collection of early paintings, while still retaining the idea of pairing paintings with the same pattern.

For the considerable work undertaken in the royal residences and palaces from 1838, Queen Victoria and Prince Albert continued to commission picture frames from firms used by their royal predecessors. The most frequently favoured were the rival firms of Thomas Ponsonby (Thomas Ponsonby and his son Thomas Thompson Ponsonby) of 32 Regent's Circus (1826–54) and 42 Piccadilly (1855–57), and William Thomas of 29 Berners Street, London. Old picture frames were cut and altered, re-joined and re-gilded. Certain common threads run through the estimates and bills of the carver and gilders: one is Albert's desire for order, seen in both his choice and arrangement of the paintings and the novel use of clear labelling; a second leitmotif is his interest in new techniques for the manufacture of frames. There are records of many payments for ornamenting and enriching older frames, enlarging old frames, converting frames for glass to protect the paintings, for inscriptions and tablets, and for adapting old pictures frames for looking-glasses as well as new paintings. For the frames of prints on show at Windsor and Osborne, Ponsonby supplied ‘Balmoral maple moulding’. New materials such as papier mâché were also used. The gilded composition frames were for paintings by contemporary artists such as Sir George Hayter, Sir Edwin Landseer and Franz Xaver Winterhalter. For Winterhalter’s portrait of the Maharaja Duleep Singh, dated 1854, Ponsonby made a frame in the style of Morant (fig. 8), who had supplied frames for Thomas Lawrence in the 1820s (called a ‘Lawrence pattern’ in the records) and he created a similar frame for Winterhalter’s portrait of the Maharaja Duleep Singh in 1854 (fig. 9). Other frames employed different ornaments, including ‘Carlo Marratti patterns’ (in the style of the eighteenth-century frame type known as ‘Carlo Maratta’).

Some new frames were particularly elaborate. For instance, the pair by Thomas, supplied in 1862 for the marriage portraits by Winterhalter of Prince Louis of Hesse and by Koberwein of Princess Alice, are enriched with crowns, orange-blossom and laurel. Thomas also made an elaborate frame, designed to stand on an easel at Balmoral, for Koberwein’s copy of The Prince Consort by Winterhalter (RCIN 403063): this was made of satinwood, with carved emblematic ornaments, including crowns, monograms, oak and thistle foliage, and carved laurel mouldings round the inner...
Frames were occasionally carved rather than composition, like this important commission for Prince Albert's portrait. Evidence for another example is Ponsonby’s invoice of £14 8s 6d for the ‘making [of a] handsomely finished & emblematic Gothic frame. Carved entirely in Limetree [sic] and also wholly cut up & veined in the white & prepared & gilt in the best mat and Burnish Gilding & illuminated to correspond with Drawing’.34

Prince Albert’s close interest in frames is once again shown by Ponsonby’s estimate of 1 October 1850 for ‘Preparing a handsome picture frame to pattern chosen by H.R.H The Prince and furnishing in best B. gold £52-18-6’.35 Ponsonby put together and repaired a large frame for a full-length portrait sent from Russia and a note on the estimate, dated 22 February 1850, specified that the ormolu was to be ‘mixed to meet HRH Prince Albert’s taste to assimilate with colour of gold sent from Russia’.36 In the same year, ‘2 very elaborately carved Frames venetian character’ were to be ‘finished in burnished gold & the matted parts in the full rich coloured ormolu as described by HRH’; and in 1857, ‘One handsomely enriched & fully mounted frame Pc. Albert’s patt…’.37

In this period carvers and gilders such as Ponsonby were undertaking a whole range of work for Queen Victoria and Prince Albert as part of the interior decoration and additions that were being made to Buckingham Palace as well as their other residences. Frames and the display of pictures were just one part of the overall effect of a particular room. In 1847, for example, Ponsonby provided estimates for ‘Eight carved Dolphins w. leaves finished in best B. gold to match Pattern sent. 36-4-0’ for Buckingham Palace.38 Prince Albert must have been closely involved in all matters of design and execution. Ponsonby’s woodwork for the Centre Room was to be executed ‘according to designs approved by H.R.H.’,39 and in 1847 he estimated for a gilt panel ornament for a looking-glass mounted to H.R.H. Prince Albert’s Approval for the Audience Room in Buckingham Palace.40 An important illustration of Prince Albert’s skill as a designer is Queen Victoria’s Audience Room at Windsor Castle. As a prelude to his collaboration with John Thomas in 1860 Albert had commissioned Thomas Ponsonby to alter it in 1850. This first redecoration included a new cove and cornice, papier-mâché enrichments to the ceiling, the decoration and gilding of doors and shutters and the restoration of two eighteenth-century tables.41
Frames for new acquisitions

For most of Prince Albert’s acquisitions of contemporary art the frames were designed or approved by the artist. He purchased, for instance, Ferdinand Waldmüller’s The Grandmother’s Birthday (RCIN 403605) in 1856, at a private showing of the artist’s paintings at Buckingham Palace. The composition frame is German with an extremely wide cavetto section and pronounced corner ornaments (fig. 10), while the Eduard von Steinle Saint Luke Painting the Virgin, dated 1851 and purchased by Prince Albert in the same year, has an angular frame with the hollow decorated with a reed entwined by acanthus leaves; this was made in Frankfurt by J.P. (Johann Peter) Schneider, where Steinle was living (fig. 11). Prince Albert paid Steinle ‘for a picture & frame £323 10s 8d’ on 16 June 1851. On another occasion, the German artist Wilhelm Hensel designed the distinctive Egyptian frame for Miriam’s Song of Praise of 1836, which the artist presented to Queen Victoria in 1843 (fig. 12). The gilding would originally have been varied to provide different effects: the stars were oil-gilded against a matt background and the stylised papyrus plants would have been burnished.

Joseph Green (1808–73?) made a rococo revival style frame with pierced rococo corner ornaments and a swept and completely pierced back rail to complement creatively the fairy subject matter in Daniel Maclise’s Scene from Undine purchased by Queen Victoria in 1843 (fig. 13). The knot designs in the corners and the lettering of the inscription on another frame – by George Brown of 25 Newman Street,
off Oxford Street, for William Dobson’s *The Almsdeeds of Dorcas, 1855* (fig. 14) — is reminiscent of ecclesiastical designs found on Pre-Raphaelite paintings. Prince Albert acquired William Dyce’s *Madonna and Child* before it was exhibited at the Royal Academy in 1846 (fig. 15). When it was sent to Buckingham Palace it was in an old but suitable frame. The artist went on to paint a companion piece depicting *Saint Joseph* in 1847, commissioned by Prince Albert. Both pictures were subsequently framed in large carved and gilded frames with scrolling leaf ornament in a frieze, again reminiscent of Pre-Raphaelite frames and the taste for Gothic ornament, possibly designed by Dyce in consultation with Prince Albert. There is a payment to Mr Draycott which records: ‘Frames – Dyce’s Pictures £16.0.0’ on 22 March 1847 from Prince Albert’s private accounts. Presumably this is Frederick Draycott, who operated from his business at 27 Duke Street, Bloomsbury, London.

The frame for the Michael Wittmer *Raphael’s First Sketch of the ‘Madonna della Sedia’* (fig. 16), which Prince Albert purchased in 1853, looks German with its compressed band of fruit and flower ornament, but it has a Ponsonby label on the back.

**Prince Albert and frames for his early Italian paintings in Osborne**

What is remarkable about Prince Albert’s decision to have his pictures placed in uniform frames is that this did not stop with the most formal arrangements of pictures in the grand displays at Buckingham Palace and Windsor Castle. In a pioneering move he adopted a similar practice for his private collection of early...
paintings. Here, however, while ornament was often repeated and particular paintings were framed as pairs, the design of the frames also reflected the style of specific schools.

Prince Albert’s taste for Italian art before Raphael was unusual in Britain in the 1840s, although he was not the first to collect this period. The rigour and directness of the Italians up to Raphael must have appealed to him. When he visited Florence in 1839 he was ‘often quite intoxicated with delight’ when he came out of one of the galleries.51 He travelled on to Rome, where he purchased the Veneto School Portrait of a Small Boy (JS 312 406402) as by Veronese; this can be seen in the James Roberts watercolour of Prince Albert’s Dressing and Writing Room (fig. 19), to the right of the Master of the Misericordia altarpiece. In a concentrated campaign between 1845 and 1847 he acquired 25 early Italian paintings, with the help of Ludwig Gruner. Ten of these were acquired from Ludwig Metzger in Florence, who had inherited his father’s collection, including the Duccio triptych, the first acknowledged work by that artist to enter Britain. Metzger also supplied in 1845 the small Virgin and Child with Saints (RCIN 407817 LC 32), now considered the work of a follower of Hugo van der Goes (but purchased as a Hans Memling), which Prince Albert hung in the Pages’ Waiting Room. In 1846 seven paintings were acquired from Warner Ottley, whose brother William Young Ottley had formed a collection in Italy between 1791 and 1798.52 Gruner also purchased on behalf of Prince Albert three works from Cianfanelli in Florence; two from the Melzi Collection in Florence; and two from the artist Tommaso Minardi in Rome. Some of these paintings were bought by Queen Victoria via Gruner as presents for Prince Albert.53
Prince Albert arranged his personal collection of early Italian and Northern Renaissance paintings in private rooms at Osborne and preferred to do this without assistance. He and Queen Victoria took great pleasure in furnishing Osborne House, of which they took possession in the spring of 1845, and the new Pavilion (completed by September 1846) and the Household Wing and Main Wing (completed in 1851). In November 1846 an invoice was recorded for packing cases and transport of pictures to Osborne. Although Augustus Wall Callcott, the Surveyor of Pictures, had been called upon to help sort out pictures arriving at Windsor from Hampton Court, when the royal couple were arranging paintings at Osborne the Surveyor was not summoned because, as noted by Queen Victoria (in relation to the ‘little Drawingroom downstairs’), everything is ‘our very own’. Many frames and the panels are marked with Prince Albert’s brand, a crowned letter A (fig. 17). Albert’s Italian collection was often framed in combinations of blue and gold, his German Cranachs in Jacobean revival frames. The frames are distinctive and exemplify his taste for symmetrical arrangements. In his private room at Osborne, his Dressing and Writing Room, the Prince arranged his collection of fourteenth- and early fifteenth-century Italian art (fig. 18). James Roberts’s watercolour of 1851 recorded the framing and arrangement of 17 of the 25 paintings in this room (fig. 19).

As for Prince Albert’s unusually systematic arrangement of his collection at Osborne, some acquisitions remained in their original frames. Among these were three from Warner Ottley: the Pesellino Coronation of the Virgin (seen above the left door in the Roberts watercolour); the workshop of Jacopo di Cione triptych, in an original but re-gilded frame (fig. 20; seen reflected in the mirror below the Master of the Johnston Madonna tondo); and the Gentile da Fabriano Quaratesi Madonna (RCIN 407614, on loan to the National Gallery). Perhaps for reasons of space, he hung the last of these in the Pages’ Waiting Room at Osborne, alongside his German and Netherlandish paintings. He had the outer mouldings and brackets with capitals added to original elements, as can be seen by comparing a 1919 photograph with one taken after cleaning in 1949–50 (figs 21, 22), when these additions were removed. He kept the Florentine Baroque frame, typical of those in the Palazzo Pitti, around the Raffaellino del Garbo saint, seen above the door on the right in the Roberts watercolour. Bought from Cianfanelli, the panel has a wax seal on the back, suggesting a Medici Collection provenance. The Perugino Saint Jerome in Penitence (fig. 23), acquired by Gruner from Della Bruna of Florence in 1845, has a contemporary Italian frame, possibly Florentine or Roman, with carved and applied scrolling flowering tendril decoration applied to a cassetta type. The Parentino Saint Sebastian (figs 24, 25) acquired from Minardi in 1847 has a similar type of frame, probably made in Rome.
Fig. 19 (top)
James Roberts (c.1800–1867), Osborne House: Prince Albert’s Dressing Room, 1851
Watercolour and bodycolour with touches of gum arabic over pencil, 24.3 x 36.8cm
Royal Collection, RL 26224

Fig. 20 (above left)
Workshop of Jacopo di Cione (c.1330/5–1398/1400), Triptych: The Coronation of the Virgin, Nativity and Crucifixion, c.1365–70
Tempera on panel, frame 105.1 x 74.8cm
Royal Collection, RCIN 403483

Fig. 21 (above centre)
Gentile da Fabriano (c.1370–1427), The Quaratesi Madonna, c.1425
Showing framing additions added to original frame
Tempera on panel
Royal Collection, RCIN 407614

Fig. 22 (above right)
Gentile da Fabriano (c.1370–1427), The Quaratesi Madonna, c.1425
Showing framing additions removed in 1950
Tempera on panel, frame 229 x 96.4cm
Royal Collection, RCIN 407614
Fig. 23 (above left)
Perugino (c.1450–1523),
St Jerome in Penitence, c.1480–85
Glue-based medium (?) on canvas
laid on panel, frame 107.5 x 87cm
Royal Collection, RCIN 403469

Fig. 24 (below left)
Parentino (c.1450–after 1498),
St. Sebastian, c.1480
Oil on panel, frame 70.5 x 53.2cm
Royal Collection, RCIN 403487

Fig. 25 (below right)
Detail of Fig. 24
Albert had some of his other paintings reframed in contemporary British frames. For example, his early acquisition of a Veneto School Portrait of a Small Boy (fig. 26) is surrounded by a composition rococo frame.\(^5\) Several others are framed in simple and standard Carlo Maratta-type frames with carved or compo applied decoration, for example Palmezzano’s Portrait of a Man (Metzger), the Virgin of the Rocks after Leonardo da Vinci (Metzger) and the Holy Family by a follower of Francia (Cianfanelli; fig. 27). Visible above the mirror in the Roberts watercolour is the Portrait of a Man by Girolamo Romanino, in a carved and gilded oak frame with a shell at the arched section at the top. The style with a plain flat decorated with husks and laurel branch is comparable with the frames later designed for Pre-Raphaelite paintings (fig. 28).

In order to create a cohesive display, other paintings were placed in identical frames. The two predella panels, Bernardo Daddi’s The Marriage of the Virgin (which Queen Victoria purchased from Ludwig Metzger, through Gruner, and presented to Prince Albert 1846) and Benozzo Gozzoli’s The Fall of Simon Magus (which Prince Albert purchased from Warner Ottley in 1846) are very close in size and were framed in matching carved Florentine-style frames, probably by a British framemaker, with pin and ribbon sight moulding and pierced scrolling acanthus ornament on D-sections. The piercing is unusual, and glass or fabric would originally have filled the gaps (figs 29, 30). Matching frames were also made in this country for Zanobi Strozzi’s Madonna of Humility, bought as by Fra Angelico (from Metzger in 1845, payment

---

**Fig. 26** (above left)
Venetian, Portrait of a Small Boy, c.1550–79
Oil on canvas, frame 75 x 64.8cm
Royal Collection, RCIN 406402

**Fig. 27** (above right)
Follower of Francesco Francia
(c.1450–1517), The Holy Family, c.1560
Oil on panel, frame 77.2 x 67cm
Royal Collection, RCIN 404604

**Fig. 28**
Girolamo Romanino (c.1484–c.1560),
Portrait of a Man, c.1515–17
Oil on panel, frame 92.1 x 68.9cm
Royal Collection, RCIN 406370
for which was made on 7 April 1846; fig. 31), and the Master of the Misericordia’s Calvary; and The Madonna with Eight Saints, bought by Queen Victoria as by Andrea di Cione (from Warner Ottley in 1846, payment for it being disbursed on 16 July 1846; fig. 32).

**Fig. 29** (far left)  
Benozzo Gozzoli (c.1421–97),  
The Fall of Simon Magus, c.1461–2  
Tempera on panel, frame 39.7 x 49cm  
Royal Collection, RCIN 403372  
and  
Bernardo Daddi (active c.1312/20–c.1348),  
The Marriage of the Virgin, c.1339–42  
Tempera on panel, frame 30 x 34.8cm  
Royal Collection, RCIN 406768

**Fig. 30** (left)  
Bernardo Daddi  
(active c.1312/20–c.1348),  
The Marriage of the Virgin, c.1339–42  
Tempera on panel, frame 30 x 34.8cm (detail)  
Royal Collection, RCIN 406768

**Fig. 31** (far left)  
Attributed to Zanobi Strozzi (1412–68),  
The Madonna of Humility with Angels,  
c.1440–50  
Tempera and tooled gold on panel,  
frame 111.1 x 70.25cm  
Royal Collection, RCIN 400039

**Fig. 32** (left)  
Master of the Misericordia (active c.1360–c.1385), Calvary and the Virgin Enthroned with Eight Saints, c.1380–85  
Tempera on panel, frame 112.7 x 75.1cm  
Royal Collection, RCIN 403954
The early Italian Gothic revival frames have a broad Gothic arch set into a rectangular frame. A broad flat is decorated with scrolling tendrils against a vivid blue; the broad flutes within the upper spandrels above are also blue. The design recalls fourteenth- and fifteenth-century Italian engaged frames, such as that around Pesellino’s Coronation of the Virgin (above the door on the left). Symmetrically above the Gothic revival frames are the two bust-length paintings of Saint Stephen and Saint Lawrence by Ambrogio Bergognone’s workshop. Other frames in the room repeat the blue decoration, for example the Master of the Johnston Magdalen tondo depicting The Virgin and Child and Saints (fig. 33), bought from Metzger as by Andrea Verrocchio, which, as can be seen in the mirror in the Roberts watercolour, has elaborate fruit and flower decoration in compo. The bead and husk decoration also was used for the Francia frame (fig. 27). The frame for the Master of Marradi Christ and Saint John purchased from Ottley in 1846 no longer exists, but the Roberts watercolour records that Prince Albert hung it below the Pesellino fragment of Saints Mamas and James and that it used to have a square frame with blue decoration. The Duccio triptych, acquired by Prince Albert through Gruner in April 1846 from Metzger at the same time as the Zanobi Strozzi, has similar blue and gold decoration and is likely to have been made by the same framemaker as the Zanobi Strozzi (fig. 34). The triptych is fixed in one plane in a plain hollow frame with carved vine leaf ornament against a bright blue background. This has a powerful effect on the triptych, flattening it in one dimension and denying the careful perspective of the wings. The bars of the frame are now living trunks from which the vine grows, emphasising the fact that the cross has knots and stumps of wood and that it, too, was once a tree but has become the tree of life.
There is similar blue in the frame of the Friedrich Overbeck drawing *Religion Glorified by the Fine Arts* (1840; fig. 35), which was purchased from the artist in Rome by Ludwig Gruner in 1847 and presented to Queen Victoria by Prince Albert in the same year. It is recorded as hanging in the Small Drawing Room on the ground floor at Osborne by 1876. The drawing was framed according to the Prince’s instructions, except for the fact that the title was given on the frame in English rather than in German. The frame is probably not English but German, with its applied gilded metal ornament on the blue background (fig. 36).

Prince Albert and frames for his Cranachs

Prince Albert was particularly interested in the work of the early German painter Lucas Cranach the Elder, adding about 15 paintings associated with that artist to the Royal Collection. The Pages’ Waiting Room (fig. 37) was hardly a prepossessing space for paintings so important to the collector, but the room was centrally placed on the first floor of the Pavilion and was a key point of intersection in the life of Osborne House. It was hung with six Cranachs, three more reproduced on porcelain, The *Virgin and Child* by Gentile da Fabriano (now on loan to the National Gallery) and two Netherlandish paintings. Since Gruner had acquired *Apollo and Diana* from Mr Campe of Nuremberg and Mrs Nicholls had sold *Lucretia* to Prince Albert, both in 1844, Prince Albert must have approved the design of these identical frames (figs...
These are amongst the more unusual frames of the Victorian period, being Jacobean revival in style, with elaborate composition ornament on pine bases. The frames were made from an identical mould but the Lucretia frame is less solid and may not have been made at the same time, or possibly by a workshop assistant. Fruit, flowers and two towers are the more ornate touches to the Apollo and Diana frame. The sources for such ornament can be traced back to Fontainebleau, popularised by Netherlandish prints such as those by the sixteenth-century artist Hans Vredeman de Vries. Particularly comparable are designs in the latter’s Multarum variarumque protractionum (compartimenta vulgus pictorum vocat) (Antwerp, Gerard de Jode, 1555; figs 40–43) and Variarum protractionum (vulgo Compartimenta vocant) (Antwerp, Gerard de Jode, 1555).
Who made the frames for Prince Albert’s Renaissance paintings?

The frames themselves for Albert’s Renaissance paintings do not give any clues as to their makers. Two ledgers in the Royal Archives, Windsor Castle, dating from 1840 to 1862 list Prince Albert’s private accounts, but there are no related vouchers or bills giving details of the payments. His skill as a designer and his knowledge of art were widely acknowledged.

It is hard to relate the extraordinary designs of the frames at Osborne to the often prosaic frames produced by Ponsonby and Thomas for the other royal residences. However, their names – particularly that of Ponsonby – appear frequently in both Queen Victoria’s main accounts and Prince Albert’s private ones. Ponsonby’s name is associated with a number of gifts: on 11 March 1844 he was paid £131 44s for picture frames for presents from the Separate Reserve Fund Account, and on 25 June 1847 the sum of £101 12s, again for picture frames. After 1846 payments were often made from this account to furnish Osborne. On 16 July 1845 Ponsonby was paid £51 10s 4d from Prince Albert’s private account for frames for Osborne. It may be coincidental, but payments to either Thomas or Ponsonby frequently follow the purchase of a work of art: for example, on 7 April 1846 there is payment of £190 to Mr Gruner for the Duccio triptych and painting by Fra Angelico, followed on 25 April by £22 1s 6d to Ponsonby. The payment to Warner Ottley on 16 July 1846 for four paintings is followed on 22 July by a payment to Mr Thomas of £55 10s 6d for frames. The payment of £200 to Gruner for pictures on 16 June 1847 is followed on 25 June by the large sum of £184 9s 6d to Mr Ponsonby, and after Gruner’s purchase of a Cranach on 29 June 1854 there is £9 15s 6d paid to Thomas for frames on 17 July.

Ludwig Gruner – frame designer?

Prince Albert’s payment to Gruner of £46 8s for ‘various frames’ on 10 January 1848 is worth noting, too, in the present discussion. He was an accomplished designer, immersed in ancient and Renaissance art throughout his career; but the question remains as to whether he was involved in the design of the frames for Prince Albert’s Renaissance paintings. He did draw two alternative designs for frames for the Dresden version of Holbein’s Meyer Madonna, neither of which seems to have been used; these are now in the Kupferstich-Kabinett, Dresden. To date no frame designs have been identified as being designed by Gruner for Prince Albert.

In the wide range of his designs Gruner often liked to combine blue and gold, as in the group of frames for his early Italian paintings. The gilt coffered ceilings in the Palazzo Ducale, Mantua, especially the magnificent one in Isabella d’Este’s studiolo, had
inspired sixteenth-century Italian framemakers and nineteenth-century designers. Gruner included the design of one of the ceilings in his *Specimens of Ornamental Art Selected from the best models of the Classical Approach*, published in 1850 (fig. 44). Like other designers of this period, Gruner combined the bright colours and gold found in Italian Renaissance architecture and paintings, and was inspired by Renaissance and Antique ornament (such as in the House of Nero in Rome). These elements are seen in his cabinet of 1851 (fig. 45), in his illustrations of the decorations of the Garden.
Pavilion (fig. 46) and the interior of the Royal Mausoleum at Frogmore (fig. 47). The scrolling leaves on the Italian frames and the swags of fruit and strapwork on the Cranach ones echo ornament designs in Gruner’s pattern books, for example in Vorlagen für Ornamentmalerei: Motive aller Stylarten, von der Antike bis zur neuesten Zeit of 1873 (figs 48–50). The Jacobean motifs on the Cranach frames can also be found in ornament designs, for example in Die decorative Kunst. Beitrage zur Ornamentik für Architektur und Kunstgewerbe, published in 1879 (fig. 51).

Contemporary designs and motifs

The fashion for transferring the sinuous forms of nature into ornament can also be found in Henry Whitaker’s Materials for a new style of ornamentation of 1849 (fig. 52) and in his The Practical cabinet maker & upholsterer’s treasury of design published two years earlier (fig. 53). This 1847 publication is mainly comprised of designs for furniture in the Italian, Elizabethan and Renaissance styles. The designs for glass frames, tables and sideboards in the Elizabethan style have fruit and strapwork
that is very similar to that on the Cranach frames (figs 54–6). The publication also has designs for furniture for Osborne, including a hall table and chair, but these are in the Italian style, which was perhaps regarded as more appropriately formal for a royal residence than the Elizabethan or Renaissance styles (figs 57, 58). The frame designs for Prince Albert’s paintings would have complemented the Holland & Sons furniture which was supplied en masse around 1850–51; the firm also supplied chimneypieces, but not frames for paintings.
Three Jacobean revival frames have been found which can be compared with Cranach frames. Joseph Nash’s watercolour of The Porch at Montacute House, Somerset of 1842 (fig. 59), has a very similar frame, complementing the architectural style of the Elizabethan exterior in the painting. Almost identical to this is the frame in Pollok House around the painting of a Girl with a Dove attributed to Anton Raphael Mengs (fig. 60). Sir William Stirling Maxwell, the owner of Pollok House, who was collecting Old Masters from 1842 to about 1855, reframed many of his collection in this type of frame, probably in the 1850s, but this is the only one still at Pollok. The idea of a rod with a wrap-over creating internal gaps in the frame is also found in the more elaborate Royal Collection Cranach frames.

A small frame which is carved rather than compo was supplied by George Cooper of 36 Piccadilly, where the firm is recorded between 1821 and 1847, for Frederick Read’s watercolour copy of James I (fig. 61) by Paul van Somer at Ham House. The frame’s Jacobean style again matches the subject of the picture. George Cooper, a business continued by several generations with the same name, was better known for looking-glasses and is often described as a ‘Japanner’ in bills and estimates at this date. The firm and supplied and renovated furniture at Windsor Castle.

Oettingen-Wallerstein frames

The frames around many of the Oettingen-Wallerstein Collection pictures that remain in the Royal Collection are also a puzzle. A few retain their original frames or those put on before they arrived in this country, but the majority were reframed in the same distinctive gilded frames in a cavetto pattern with crossed rails (fig. 62). The collection of 79 paintings that had belonged to Prince Ludwig Oettingen Wallerstein was acquired by Prince Albert in lieu of a loan repayment. The collection was hung for some time in Kensington Palace, and in 1848 a descriptive catalogue of the collection ‘belonging to His Serene Highness Prince Louis D’Öttingen Wallerstein’ was published by Gruner. The ‘pictures forming the Wallerstein Gallery at Kensington Palace’ were insured on 28 December 1850 by Prince Albert and the Royal Household. Despite this, attempts were made on behalf of Oettingen Wallerstein to interest the National Gallery in buying the collection in 1851 and 1852. The National Gallery Board of Trustees Minutes record that the National Gallery declined this offer on 5 April 1852.

As the collection had come into his possession, Prince Albert commissioned Gustav Waagen to write A descriptive catalogue of A Collection of Byzantine, Early Italian, German and Flemish Pictures, belonging to His Royal Highness Prince Albert in 1854. In 1857 Queen Victoria and Prince Albert loaned 94 works to the Manchester Art Treasures exhibition, more than any other lender, and the Oettingen Wallerstein Collection formed a significant part of sections on ‘German and Flemish Art’, ‘Paintings by Ancient Masters’, and the ‘Byzantine and Italian Schools’. 

---

Fig. 59
Joseph Nash (1808–78), The Porch at Montacute House, Somerset, 1842
Watercolour, 75.5 x 53.4 cm
London, Victoria and Albert Museum

Fig. 60
Attributed to Anton Raphael Mengs (1728–79), Girl with a Dove, Oil on canvas, frame 90 x 76 cm
Pollok House, The National Trust for Scotland © National Trust for Scotland
After Prince Albert's death Queen Victoria offered the Oettingen Wallerstein Collection to the National Gallery and 25 paintings were selected in 1863. All but one of these had been shown at the Art Treasures exhibition, together with 20 of the 54 paintings in the Oettingen Wallerstein group that remain in the Royal Collection. The paintings were all removed from Kensington Palace in January 1863 and the 54 declined by Eastlake on behalf of the National Gallery were returned to Buckingham Palace on 3 July. At this point many were hung in the Chapel Gallery and have an 1866 brand on the back (fig. 63). Meanwhile, Mr Pinti restored the 25 National Gallery paintings and the frames ‘were received, regilt & altered,’ probably by Henry Critchfield: the National Gallery still has seven frames from this set of paintings, which have some variation in compo ornament but are in the same style and can all be attributed to Critchfield (fig. 64). One of these, formerly on the Netherlandish depiction of The Virgin and Child in a Landscape (NG 1864), has an 1857 Manchester Art Treasures label on its slip, although it is likely that the slip was reused and that the frame itself dates from after the painting arrived at the National Gallery.
Many of the Royal Collection frames with crossed rails also have Manchester Art Treasures labels on their backs; it is unlikely that all of these were transferred from previous frames – unfortunately the early paintings galleries in the Art Treasures exhibition were not photographed by Delamotte, so we have no record of the frames around these paintings. The frames are keyed and of pine, indicating that they are English rather than German. At some point between about 1851 and 1857 Prince Albert must have had these paintings reframed. A payment of £224 17s to ‘Mr E. Wyatt’ from Ludwig Gruner’s bank account, dated 13 November 1847 (archives of Coutts Bank, London), may refer to Edward Wyatt, successor of his father, Edward senior (1757–1833), who supplied frames and other carved work to George III and George IV. This payment, however, was made a year before Prince Ludwig had Gruner publish his 1848 catalogue of his collection and is probably too early to record a reframing by Prince Albert. No other record of a large reframing payment has been found.

The Oettingen-Wallerstein frames are English, but Gruner may have been involved in their design since the closest comparison is a German frame, gold on blue, produced in the region of Schwangau in about 1849 (fig. 65); neo-Gothic became fashionable here, when the castle of Hohenschwangau was refurbished in the 1830s. A highly adaptable and economic design, the most common English version of the frame, seen in a small photograph of about 1870 (fig. 66), is the so-called ‘Oxford’ frame,
after the Oxford Movement. The crossed rails motif is also found in the decorative borders in Gotthard Oswald Marbach’s *Das Nibelungenlied*, illustrated by Eduard Bendemann and Julius Hübner and published in Leipzig in 1840 ([figs 67–9](#)).

A copy of these German tales and legends was given to the Prince Consort and bears the bookstamp of his private library. The decorative leaves and scrolling foliage in the borders, part of a Europe-wide fashion in the 1840s and 1850s, is also close to the ornament on the frames for the Italian paintings ([fig. 25](#)).

**Concluding remarks**

Prince Albert was interested in the design of new frames to enhance paintings in his collection and their display. He liked to create order and unity by repeating the same design or style throughout a hang, or more subtly varying an idea, both in grand, public settings such as the Picture Gallery in Buckingham Palace and in his private rooms in Osborne. We have no evidence that he appreciated period frames, as Eastlake and Wornum did at this time. We do have some of the distinctive and flamboyant frames chosen by him to complement his Italian and Northern Renaissance paintings, their designs being in a Gothic revival style popular in the 1840s and 1850s. Prince Albert’s taste for early Italian and Northern Renaissance art was unusual for his time, as was his preference for frames in a new style. Their combination, on display in his private apartments, embodied his most private and personal taste.
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